UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI (Joplin)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
VS.) Case No.) 16-CR-5025-BP-1)
DELBERT CLAY FEEZELL,)
Defendant.)

SENTENCING
BEFORE THE HONORABLE BETH PHILLIPS
MONDAY, OCTOBER 1, 2018; 1:02 P.M.
SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. JAMES J. KELLEHER

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

901 St. Louis, Ste. 500 Springfield, MO 65806

FOR THE DEFENDANT: MS. ANN M. KOSZUTH

FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE

901 St. Louis, Ste. 801 Springfield, MO 65806

COURT REPORTER: MS. JEANNINE RANKIN, RPR, CSR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

222 N. Hammons Parkway Springfield, MO 65806

Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer.

Case 3:16-cr-05025-BP Document 71 Filed 10/10/18 Page 1 of 12

1	USA v DELBERT CLAY FEEZELL
2	CASE NO. 16-CR-5025-BP-1
3	SENTENCING
4	October 1, 2018
5	* * * * *
6	THE COURT: This is the case of United States of
7	America versus Delbert Clay Feezell, Case No. 16-5025.
8	Could counsel please enter their appearance?
9	MS. KOSZUTH: Ann Koszuth on behalf of Mr. Feezell.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	MR. KELLEHER: Jim Kelleher appearing on behalf of
12	the United States, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Thank you.
14	MS. KOSZUTH: Your Honor, my client has difficulty
15	breathing. Would it be possible for him to remain seated
16	through the hearing?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	MS. KOSZUTH: Thank you.
19	THE COURT: Mr. Feezell, it's my understanding that
20	you have some difficulty hearing. Are you having any problems
21	hearing me right now?
22	THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.
23	THE COURT: If at any point during this hearing you
24	do have problems understanding me or hearing what I'm saying,
25	will you let me know?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

2.2.

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: Before we begin the sentencing,
Mr. Feezell, I first want to have a conversation with you
regarding the steps we're going to use during today's
sentencing.

The first step will be to talk with the attorneys regarding how to calculate the sentencing guidelines as they apply to your case. After I calculate the sentencing guidelines, I will then hear evidence and/or argument from the attorneys regarding what they believe the appropriate sentence is. After I hear argument, at that point I'll give you an opportunity to make a statement, if you wish, before I actually decide the sentence.

Before I begin those steps, however, I have a few questions for you. Have you reviewed the presentence report?

THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Now, your attorney has lodged an objection to the calculation of the guidelines, specifically to a five-point enhancement under 4B1.5(b). Other than that objection, is there anything else in the report that you think is wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: No, ma'am.

1	THE COURT: Anything that needs to be changed?
2	THE DEFENDANT: No.
3	THE COURT: Then let's take up that objection.
4	Mr. Kelleher, what is the government's position with
5	respect to that objection?
6	MR. KELLEHER: Your Honor, the government has
7	attempted to obtain corroborating evidence with regard to that
8	objection and unfortunately was not able to find any evidence
9	that I believe would be adequate to sustain that objection.
10	THE COURT: You mean to overrule?
11	MR. KELLEHER: To overrule the objection.
12	THE COURT: Right. So in light of that fact I will
13	sustain the objection to the five-level enhancement contained
14	in Paragraph 22.
15	Ms. Koszuth, based upon your filing earlier today
16	with the Court, it's my understanding you're withdrawing your
17	objection to the statutory mandatory minimum; is that correct?
18	MS. KOSZUTH: Yes, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Do you have any other objection?
20	MS. KOSZUTH: No, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Does the government have any objection
22	to the presentence report?
23	MR. KELLEHER: No, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Then consistent with my ruling I will
25	adopt the presentence report. I find a total offense level of

2.2.

2.3

34, a criminal history category of two, and a guideline range when the statutory mandatory minimum is taken into account of 180 months to 210 months.

With that, Mr. Kelleher, do you have evidence or argument regarding the appropriate sentence?

MR. KELLEHER: Very briefly, Your Honor.

As the Court is aware, both parties have filed sentencing memoranda in this case. I believe the sentencing memoranda set forth a fairly substantial amount of information for the Court to consider and I won't belabor the matter.

Both myself and the defense have agreed that a sentence of 210 months' imprisonment is appropriate to be followed by a term of supervised release to be determined by the Court. I would respectfully suggest that term of supervised release be lengthy given the defendant's criminal history and prior conviction for a sex offense. The parties have also agreed that the defendant will pay \$1,000 in restitution to the victim known as RapJerseys.

Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Koszuth, do you have any evidence or argument?

MS. KOSZUTH: Just briefly, Your Honor.

We do acknowledge, Your Honor, that my client does have a criminal history that is worse than the typical defendant we see in this court but I ask the Court to consider

in sentencing him the fact that he was sexually abused as a child and that is something I think that comes into play in these cases quite frequently.

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.4

I would also ask that the Court consider his physical health as well as his mental health. He does suffer from severe depression and that's something the Bureau of Prisons recommended he get treatment for. And I ask for a recommendation from the Court that he get mental health treatment while in the Bureau of Prisons and that the Court also recommend whatever substance abuse treatment program they believe is appropriate for him. I'm not asking for a specific program because as relates to the 500-hour RDAP program, he wouldn't be eligible for any time off.

We did agree, as the government stated, that 210 months would be a reasonable sentence in this case and, again, I would just defer to the Court for any period of supervised release relating to that.

I would also ask that the Court take into consideration his age. He is 57 years old. He'd be in his seventies by the time he was released from a 210-month sentence.

THE COURT: Mr. Feezell, before I decide what sentence to impose, is there anything that you wish to say?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Before I decide what sentence to impose,

is there anything that you wish to say?

2.1

2.2.

2.3

2.5

MS. KOSZUTH: Your Honor, I believe he's saying that he wants to say he's sorry.

THE COURT: I'm sorry. I misunderstood you.

Sir, when I decide the appropriate sentence, in all cases I begin with the sentencing guidelines. I do believe that they're important to promote consistency in sentencing. I consider a number of other factors such as your history and characteristics, the nature and circumstances of this offense, the need to promote respect for the law, all with the goal of imposing a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than necessary to meet the goals of the federal sentencing statute.

It's -- obviously there are a number of very concerning factors in this case, your history and characteristics being one of them. I also then can't understate -- or overstate the nature and circumstances of this offense.

When I look at that, those two factors coupled with the need to protect the community, I think that the government is being very reasonable when recommending a sentence of 210 months. In light of that recommendation, I will impose a sentence of 210 months. That will be followed by 15 years of supervised release.

When you're on supervised release, there are a number of conditions of supervised release I'm going to

impose. The special conditions of supervised release I'm going to impose were contained on page 13, Paragraph 72 of the presentence report. Did you have the opportunity to review 4 those conditions? Yes, ma'am. THE DEFENDANT: THE COURT: And do you understand each of those 7 conditions? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. THE COURT: Just so the record is clear, there are a

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2.

2.3

24

25

number of conditions related to Mr. Feezell's status as a sex offender. I believe that these conditions are reasonable and necessary to provide the probation office the tools required to properly supervise Mr. Feezell when he is on supervised release, ensure that he is following the conditions of his supervised release, and generally to protect the community.

I will also impose a order of restitution in the amount of \$1,000 to the series known as RapJerseys. information regarding payment I believe is contained in the presentence report.

I believe you don't have the means to pay a fine so I'm going to waive a fine. I am required by law to impose a special assessment in the amount of \$100.

I will also waive any payment under the Justice for Victims of Trafficking Act. I will also recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that you be provided mental health treatment

and drug treatment as they deem it appropriate. 1 2 Is there anything else that the judgment should 3 contain from the government's perspective? 4 MR. KELLEHER: I just want to make sure there's no 5 counts to be dismissed. 6 There's not, so I don't believe there's anything 7 else. 8 THE COURT: Anything from the defendant's 9 perspective? 10 MS. KOSZUTH: Your Honor, the restitution contained 11 in the presentence report is different from the one that 12 was -- that the government and I agreed to and I believe the 13 one in the presentence report may have withdrawn, so I don't 14 know if we need to make a further record relating to the 15 restitution order. 16 THE COURT: Oh, it is. 17 MR. KELLEHER: It is. 18 Sorry. The restitution will be THE COURT: Yes. 19 made to RapJerseys -- to the series known as RapJerseys. 20 Payment to Deborah A. Bianco in trust for Maureen. And we 2.1 will include the information provided by the government in the 2.2. judgment. 2.3 Sir, in the plea agreement you entered into with the 24 government you gave up your right to appeal.

Was there a plea agreement?

25

1	MS. KOSZUTH: There was no plea agreement, Your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: Sir, you have a right to appeal the
4	sentence that I've just imposed, but in order to do so you
5	have to file a notice of appeal within 14 days of the date of
6	the judgment in this case. If you do not file a notice of
7	appeal within 14 days, then you will forever lose your right
8	to appeal the sentence. Do you understand that?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT: If you choose to appeal, either
11	Ms. Koszuth can file the notice of appeal or the Clerk of the
12	Court can file the notice of appeal.
13	Is there anything further on the part of the
14	government?
15	MR. KELLEHER: Yes, Your Honor. I'm sorry. It's
16	not Maureen. It's actually
17	THE COURT: I tell you what
18	MR. KELLEHER: Yeah
19	THE COURT: why don't you
20	MR. KELLEHER: I'll send the clerk an email.
21	THE COURT: yeah, update the information that was
22	provided prior to the hearing so that the proper name can be
23	included in the
24	MR. KELLEHER: I don't think RapJerseys is Maureen.
25	I think I may have mistranscribed that when I was putting it

1	together.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	Other than that, anything further on the part of the
4	government?
5	MR. KELLEHER: No, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Anything on behalf of Mr. Feezell?
7	MS. KOSZUTH: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
8	THE COURT: That will conclude this proceeding.
9	(Court stands in recess at 1:12 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF OFFICIAL REPORTER

I, Jeannine M. Rankin, Federal Official Court Reporter, in and for the United States District Court for the Western District of Missouri, Southern Division, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographically reported proceedings.

12 Date:

/s/ Jeannine M. Rankin

10/10/18 Jeannine M. Rankin, CCR, CSR, RPR

 $\angle \perp$